

RESOLVENT ESTIMATES RELATED WITH A CLASS OF DISPERSIVE EQUATIONS

HIROYUKI CHIHARA

ABSTRACT. We present a simple proof of the resolvent estimates of elliptic Fourier multipliers on the Euclidean space, and apply them to the analysis of time-global and spatially-local smoothing estimates of a class of dispersive equations. For this purpose we study in detail the properties of the restriction of Fourier transform on the unit cotangent sphere associated with the symbols of multipliers.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with resolvent estimates of elliptic operators on the Euclidean space with constant coefficients. These estimates are equivalent to smoothing properties of solutions to corresponding dispersive evolution equations.

For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, set $x \cdot \xi = x_1\xi_1 + \dots + x_n\xi_n$ and $|\xi| = \sqrt{\xi \cdot \xi}$. Let $a(\xi) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ be a positively homogeneous function of degree one. Suppose that $a(\xi) > 0$ for $\xi \neq 0$. It follows that

$$a'(\xi) = \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial \xi_1}(\xi), \dots, \frac{\partial a}{\partial \xi_n}(\xi) \right) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } \xi \neq 0$$

since $a(\xi) = a'(\xi) \cdot \xi$. Set $p(\xi) = a(\xi)^m$ for some fixed number $m > 1$.

Consider the initial value problem of the form

$$D_t u - p(D_x)u = f(t, x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{1+n}, \quad (1)$$

$$u(0, x) = \phi(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2)$$

where $u(t, x)$ is an unknown function of $(t, x) = (t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$, $f(t, x)$ and $\phi(x)$ are given functions, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $D_t = -i\partial/\partial t$, $D_x = -i\partial/\partial x$, $\partial/\partial x = (\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)$, and the operator $p(D_x)$ is defined by

$$p(D_x)v(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} p(\xi) v(y) dy d\xi$$

for an appropriate function $v(x)$. Since $p(\xi)$ is real-valued, the initial value problem (1)-(2) is L^2 -well-posed, that is, for any $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for any $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, (1)-(2) possesses a unique solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Here L^q and L^q_{loc} denote a usual Lebesgue space and its local space respectively for $q \in [1, \infty]$, and $C(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is the set of all $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -valued continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the unique solution u is explicitly given by

$$u(t, x) = e^{itp(D_x)} \phi(x) + iGf(t, x),$$

$$e^{itp(D_x)} \phi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} e^{itp(\xi)} v(y) dy d\xi,$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A10; Secondary 35P25, 47F05.

Key words and phrases. resolvent, dispersive equation, smoothing effect, limiting absorption principle.

The author was supported by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research #17540140.

$$Gf(t, x) = \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)p(D_x)} f(s, x) ds.$$

A typical example of (1) is the Schrödinger evolution equation of a free particle, which is the case $p(\xi) = |\xi|^2$. It is well-known that the solution to the free Schrödinger evolution equation on $\mathbb{R}^n$ gains extra smoothness in comparison with the initial data and the forcing term. This mathematical phenomenon is called local smoothing effect or local smoothing property. In the last two decades, smoothing properties of solutions to more general dispersive partial differential equations and their applications have been vigorously investigated. See, e.g., [1], [2], [4], [5], [6], [8], [9], [12], [13], [16], [18], [19] and references therein.

In [2] Doi deeply studied the relationship between the behavior of the geodesic flow and the smoothing effect of the Schrödinger evolution equation on complete Riemannian manifolds. Roughly speaking, he proved that the smoothing effect occurs if and only if all the geodesics go to “infinity”. In other words, if there exists a trapped geodesic, then the smoothing effect breaks down. For more general dispersive equations, the smoothing effect depends on the behavior of the Hamilton flow generated by the principal symbol of the equations. Consider dispersive equations with constant coefficients of the form

$$D_t u - q(D_x)u = f(t, x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{1+n}, \quad (3)$$

where $q(\xi)$ is a real polynomial of order $m > 1$. Let $q_m(\xi)$ be the principal symbol of $q(D_x)$. $q_m(\xi)$ generates the Hamilton flow $\{(x + tq'_m(\xi), \xi)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ for $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Hoshiro proved that the smoothing effect of solutions to the IVP for (3) occurs if and only if for $\xi \neq 0$

$$|x + tq'_m(\xi)| \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

This condition is equivalent to $q'_m(\xi) \neq 0$ for $\xi \neq 0$. See [6] for the detail.

There are some expressions of smoothing estimates. Let $\Delta = -|D_x|^2$ and $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$. Throughout this paper, different positive constants are denoted by the same letter C . In [16] Sugimoto classified these estimates into three types as follows.

TYPE-I : Let $\delta > 1/2$. Then

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-\delta} |D_x|^{1/2} e^{-it\Delta} \phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (4)$$

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-\delta} |D_x| \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)\Delta} f(s) ds \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \leq C \|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \quad (5)$$

TYPE-II : Suppose $n \geq 3$. Then

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \langle D_x \rangle^{1/2} e^{-it\Delta} \phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (6)$$

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-1} \langle D_x \rangle \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)\Delta} f(s) ds \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \leq C \|\langle x \rangle f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \quad (7)$$

For TYPE-III, see [8], [16] and [19] for the detail. TYPE-I estimates (4) and (5) were studied by many authors. These inequalities describe time-global and spatially local smoothing effect. TYPE-II estimates (6) and (7), and TYPE-III estimates were first studied by Kato and Yajima in [8]. These inequalities seem to show not only smoothing effect but also integrability of solutions. In [1] the author gave the complete generalization of (4) and (5). More precisely, he proved that if $p(\xi)$ is a real-principal-type homogeneous symbol of degree $m > 1$, that is, $p(\xi) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ is real-valued and satisfies

$$p'(\xi) \neq 0, \quad p(\xi) = |\xi|^m p\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right) \quad \text{for } \xi \neq 0,$$

then the corresponding TYPE-I estimates hold. Unfortunately, the proof of this generalization in [1] is complicated and not comprehensive. On the other hand, TYPE-II estimates seem to show the

integrability of solutions related with low-frequency region also. From a point of view of Fourier analysis, it is very natural to ask whether the curvature effect of the level set of $a(\xi) = |\xi|$ is essential or not.

There are two purposes of this paper. One is to give a simpler proof of the generalization of TYPE-I estimates in [1] for general elliptic symbols. It seems to be very hard to present essentially simpler proof for more general real-principal-type symbols including nonelliptic ones. Another is to consider the influence of the curvature effect of the level set of the elliptic symbol on TYPE-II estimates. More precisely, we will give the complete generalization of TYPE-II estimates (6) and (7), and show that they depend only on $p'(\xi)$ and the weight $\langle x \rangle^{-m/2}$, and are independent of the curvature of the level set of $p(\xi)$. Our results are the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 2$.*

TYPE-I: *Suppose $m > 1$ and $\delta > 1/2$. Then*

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-\delta} |D_x|^{(m-1)/2} e^{itp(D_x)} \phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (8)$$

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-\delta} |D_x|^{m-1} Gf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \leq C \|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \quad (9)$$

TYPE-II: *Suppose $1 < m < n$. Then*

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-m/2} \langle D_x \rangle^{(m-1)/2} e^{itp(D_x)} \phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (10)$$

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-m/2} \langle D_x \rangle^{m-1} Gf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \leq C \|\langle x \rangle^{m/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \quad (11)$$

Theorem 1.1 follows from resolvent estimates.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 2$.*

TYPE-I: *Suppose $m > 1$ and $\delta > 1/2$. Then*

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}} \left| \left(|D_x|^{m-1} (\zeta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, g \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right| \leq C \|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\langle x \rangle^\delta g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (12)$$

TYPE-II: *Suppose $1 < m < n$. Then*

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}} \left| \left(\langle D_x \rangle^{m-1} (\zeta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, g \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right| \leq C \|\langle x \rangle^{m/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\langle x \rangle^{m/2} g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (13)$$

To prove Theorem 1.2, we make full use of the estimates of the restriction of Fourier transform on the level set of $a(\xi)$. Set $\Sigma(\tau) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n | a(\xi) = \tau\}$ for $\tau \geq 0$. The Fourier transform of $\phi(x)$ is denoted by

$$\hat{\phi}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \phi(x) dx.$$

Kuroda first established the restriction estimates related with scattering theory for $a(\xi) = |\xi|$ in [11]. His results played essential roles in [8]. His proof depends on the specificity of $a(\xi) = |\xi|$. We extend his results as follows.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $n \geq 2$.*

Uniform trace estimates: *Suppose $\theta > 0$. Then*

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau))} \leq C \|\langle x \rangle^{1/2+\theta} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (14)$$

Hölder continuity: *Suppose $0 < \theta \leq 1/2$ for $n = 2$, and $0 < \theta < 1$ for $n \geq 3$. Then*

$$\|\tau^{(n-1)/2} \hat{f}(\tau \cdot) - \lambda^{(n-1)/2} \hat{f}(\lambda \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Sigma(1))} \leq C |\tau - \lambda|^\theta \|\langle x \rangle^{1/2+\theta} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (15)$$

Low frequency trace estimates: *Suppose $0 < \theta < (n-1)/2$. Then*

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau))} \leq C \tau^\theta \|\langle x \rangle^{1/2+\theta} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (16)$$

To conclude this section, we show how Theorem 1.2 implies Theorem 1.1. In [7] Kato discovered this principle in an abstract operator theoretic setting. We give an elementary Fourier analytic approach below. For an appropriate function $f(t, x)$, we use the following notation

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(\tau, \xi) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(1+n)/2}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{1+n}} e^{-it\tau - ix \cdot \xi} f(t, x) dt dx, \\ \mathcal{F}_t[f](\tau, x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\tau} f(t, x) dt.\end{aligned}$$

Let $Y(t)$ be the Heaviside function

$$Y(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Set $f_{\pm}(t, x) = Y(\pm t)f(t, x)$ for short. Using the Fourier transform in the space-time, we have

$$\widetilde{Gf}(\tau, \xi) = \frac{\tilde{f}_+(\tau, \xi)}{\tau - p(\xi) - i0} + \frac{\tilde{f}_-(\tau, \xi)}{\tau - p(\xi) + i0}.$$

Using the above formula and the limiting absorption principle, one can easily show that (12) implies (9), and (13) implies (11). On the other hand, the estimates of $e^{itp(D_x)}\phi$ are equivalent to

$$\|(Qe^{itp(D_x)})^* f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}$$

since

$$\left(Qe^{itp(D_x)}\phi, f\right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} = \left(\phi, (Qe^{itp(D_x)})^* f\right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $Q = \langle x \rangle^{-\delta} |D_x|^{(m-1)/2}$ or $Q = \langle x \rangle^{-m/2} \langle D_x \rangle^{(m-1)/2}$. By the co-area formula (see e.g., [14, Theorem 5.8 in Chapter II]), Hörmander's observation in [3, Section 14.3] and the estimates (12)-(13), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}& \|(Qe^{itp(D_x)})^* f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\&= \|\widetilde{Q^* f(p(\cdot), \cdot)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\&= \int_0^\infty \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} \frac{|\widetilde{Q^* f}(\tau, \xi)|^2}{|p'(\xi)|} d\sigma(\xi) d\tau \\&= \lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\eta}{(\tau - \mu)^2 + \eta^2} \int_{p(\xi)=\mu} \frac{|\widetilde{Q^* f}(\tau, \xi)|^2}{|p'(\xi)|} d\sigma(\xi) d\mu d\tau \\&= \lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\pm}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\tau - \mu \pm i\eta} \int_{p(\xi)=\mu} \frac{|\widetilde{Q^* f}(\tau, \xi)|^2}{|p'(\xi)|} d\sigma(\xi) d\mu d\tau \\&= \lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\pm}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{\tau \pm i\eta - p(\xi)} \frac{|\widetilde{Q^* f}(\tau, \xi)|^2}{|p'(\xi)|} d\xi d\tau \\&= \lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\pm}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left((\tau \pm i\eta - p(D_x))^{-1} Q^* \mathcal{F}_t[f](\tau), Q^* \mathcal{F}_t[f](\tau) \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} d\tau \\&\leq C \int_0^\infty \|\mathcal{F}_t[f](\tau)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 d\tau \\&\leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}^2,\end{aligned}$$

which shows that (12) implies (8), and (13) implies (10).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we prepare some weighted commutator estimates needed later. Section 3 is devoted to proving Lemma 1.3. In Sections 4 and 5 we prove (12) and (13) respectively.

2. WEIGHTED COMMUTATOR ESTIMATES

This section is devoted to preparing weighted commutator estimates used later. Our basic tools are weighted estimates of fractional integrals due to Stein and Weiss.

Theorem 2.1 ([15, Theorem B*]). *Suppose $0 < \alpha < n$, $\beta < n/2$, $\gamma < n/2$ and $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$. Then*

$$\|a(\xi)^{-\beta}|D_\xi|^{-\alpha}\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|a(\xi)^\gamma\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (17)$$

In particular, if $0 \leq \beta < n/2$, then

$$\|a(\xi)^{-\beta}\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\| |x|^\beta f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (18)$$

In this section we show two lemmas. The first one is concerned with the commutator of weights and singular integral operators of order zero.

Lemma 2.2. *Let δ satisfy $0 < \delta \leq 1$ for $n \geq 3$ and $0 < \delta < 1$ for $n = 2$. Suppose that $q(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ is homogeneous of degree zero. Then*

$$\|(|x|^\delta q(D_x) - q(D_x)|x|^\delta)f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\||x|^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (19)$$

$$\|\langle x \rangle^\delta q(D_x)f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (20)$$

Proof. It suffices to show (19) since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle x \rangle^\delta q(D_x)f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C\|q(D_x)f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C\||x|^\delta q(D_x)f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C\|q(D_x)f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C\|q(D_x)|x|^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad + C\|(|x|^\delta q(D_x) - q(D_x)|x|^\delta)f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C\|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|(|x|^\delta q(D_x) - q(D_x)|x|^\delta)f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the inverse Fourier transform of $q(\xi)$ is a homogeneous function of degree $-n$, we have

$$\left|(|x|^\delta q(D_x) - q(D_x)|x|^\delta)f(x)\right| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{||x|^\delta - |y|^\delta|}{|x - y|^n} |f(y)| dy. \quad (21)$$

Pick up a positive integer k satisfying $(k+1)\delta > 1$ The mean value theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} ||x|^\delta - |y|^\delta| &= \frac{||x|^{(k+1)\delta} - |y|^{(k+1)\delta}|}{\sum_{j=0}^k |x|^{j\delta} |y|^{(k-j)\delta}} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)\delta |x| - |y|}{\sum_{j=0}^k |x|^{j\delta} |y|^{(k-j)\delta}} \int_0^1 \{t|x| + (1-t)|y|\}^{(k+1)\delta-1} dt \\ &\leq \frac{(k+1)\delta |x - y| (|x|^{(k+1)\delta-1} + |y|^{(k+1)\delta-1})}{|x|^{k\delta} + |y|^{k\delta}} \\ &\leq (k+1)\delta \frac{|x - y|}{|x|^{1-\delta}} + (k+1)\delta \frac{|x - y|}{|y|^{1-\delta}} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We split our proof into two cases $n \geq 3$ and $n = 2$. When $n \geq 3$, substituting (22) into (21), we have

$$\left|(|x|^\delta q(D_x) - q(D_x)|x|^\delta)f(x)\right| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{1-\delta}} + \frac{1}{|y|^{1-\delta}} \right) |f(y)| dy$$

$$= C \left\{ |x|^{-(1-\delta)} |D_x|^{-1} |f(x)| + |D_x|^{-1} \left(|x|^{-(1-\delta)} |f(x)| \right) \right\}. \quad (23)$$

Applying (18) with $\beta = 1$ to (23), we obtain (19) for $\delta = 1$ and $n \geq 3$. Suppose that $0 < \delta < 1$. Using (17) with $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 1 - \delta, \delta)$ and (18) with $\beta = 1$, we get

$$\left\| |x|^{-(1-\delta)} |D_x|^{-1} |f(x)| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| |x|^\delta f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |D_x|^{-1} \left(|x|^{-(1-\delta)} |f(x)| \right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left\| |\xi|^{-1} |D_\xi|^{-(1-\delta)} \widehat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \left\| |x|^{1-(1-\delta)} |f| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= C \left\| |x|^\delta f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

respectively. Combining (23), (24) and (25), we obtain (19) for $0 < \delta < 1$ and $n \geq 3$.

Suppose $n = 2$ and $0 < \delta < 1$. Using elementary interpolation and (22), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left| |x|^\delta - |y|^\delta \right| &= \left| |x|^\delta - |y|^\delta \right|^{1-\delta} \left| |x|^\delta - |y|^\delta \right|^\delta \\ &\leq \left| |x|^\delta + |y|^\delta \right|^{1-\delta} \left| |x|^\delta - |y|^\delta \right|^\delta \\ &\leq C (|x|^\delta + |y|^\delta)^{1-\delta} |x - y|^\delta \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{1-\delta}} + \frac{1}{|y|^{1-\delta}} \right)^\delta \\ &\leq C |x - y|^\delta \left(|x|^{\delta(1-\delta)} + |y|^{\delta(1-\delta)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\delta(1-\delta)}} + \frac{1}{|y|^{\delta(1-\delta)}} \right) \\ &\leq C |x - y|^\delta \left(1 + \frac{|y|^{\delta(1-\delta)}}{|x|^{\delta(1-\delta)}} + \frac{|x|^{\delta(1-\delta)}}{|y|^{\delta(1-\delta)}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Substituting (26) into (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (|x|^\delta q(D_x) - q(D_x) |x|^\delta) f \right| &\leq C \left(\left| |D_x|^{-\delta} |f(x)| \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| |x|^{\delta(1-\delta)} |D_x|^{-\delta} |x|^{-\delta(1-\delta)} |f(x)| \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| |x|^{-\delta(1-\delta)} |D_x|^{-\delta} |x|^{\delta(1-\delta)} |f(x)| \right| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Using (18) with $\beta = \delta$, we have

$$\left\| |D_x|^{-\delta} |f| \right\|_{L^2 \mathbb{R}^n} \leq C \left\| |x|^\delta f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (28)$$

Here we remark that $0 < \delta(1 - \delta) \leq 1/4$ and $\delta(2 - \delta) < 1 = n/2$ for $0 < \delta < 1$. Using (17) with $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\delta, -\delta(1 - \delta), \delta(2 - \delta))$ and $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\delta, \delta(1 - \delta), \delta^2)$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |x|^{\delta(1-\delta)} |D_x|^{-\delta} |x|^{(-\delta(1-\delta))} |f| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \left\| |x|^{\delta(2-\delta)-\delta(1-\delta)} |f| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= C \left\| |x|^\delta f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |x|^{-(\delta(1-\delta))} |D_x|^{-\delta} |x|^{\delta(1-\delta)} |f| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \left\| |x|^{\delta^2+\delta(1-\delta)} |f| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= C \left\| |x|^\delta f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Combining (27), (28), (29), and (30), we obtain (19) for $n = 2$. This completes the proof. $\square$

The second lemma in this section is concerned with commutator estimates between weights and fractional differentiations in frequency space of $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $n \geq 2$, and let κ satisfy $0 < \kappa < 1$ for $n = 2$ and $0 < \kappa < 3/2$ for $n \geq 3$. Set $\rho = (n-1)/2$ and $r_\kappa(D_\xi) = |D_\xi|^{\kappa-1} D_\xi$. Then*

$$\left\| a(\xi)^{-\rho} r_\kappa(D_\xi) a(\xi)^\rho \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| |x|^\kappa f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (31)$$

Proof. First we remark that the Fourier transform of $r_\kappa(x)$ is homogeneous of degree $-(n+\kappa)$. We split our proof into four cases: Case 1 ($n \geq 3, 0 < \kappa < 1$), Case 2 ($n = 2, 0 < \kappa < 1$), Case 3 ($n \geq 3, \kappa = 1$) and Case 4 ($n \geq 3, 1 < \kappa < 3/2$).

Case 1. Suppose $n \geq 3$ and $0 < \kappa < 1$. Note that $\rho \geq 1$. We compare $a(\xi)^{-\rho} r_\kappa(D_\xi) a(\xi)^\rho \hat{f}(\xi)$ with $r_\kappa(D_\xi) f(x)$. We evaluate

$$\left| \left\{ a(\xi)^{-\rho} r_\kappa(D_\xi) a(\xi)^\rho - r_\kappa(D_\xi) \right\} \hat{f}(\xi) \right| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{a(\zeta)^\rho}{a(\xi)^\rho} - 1 \right| \frac{|\hat{f}(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|^{n+\kappa}} d\zeta. \quad (32)$$

The mean value theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a(\zeta)^\rho}{a(\xi)^\rho} - 1 &= \frac{a(\zeta)^\rho - a(\xi)^\rho}{a(\xi)^\rho} \\ &= (a(\zeta) - a(\xi)) \frac{\rho}{a(\xi)^\rho} \int_0^1 \left\{ ta(\zeta) + (1-t)a(\xi) \right\}^{\rho-1} dt \\ &= \frac{\rho}{a(\xi)^\rho} \int_0^1 \left\{ ta(\zeta) + (1-t)a(\xi) \right\}^{\rho-1} dt \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 a'(s\zeta + (1-s)\xi) \cdot (\zeta - \xi) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Since $\rho - 1 \geq 0$,

$$0 \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \left\{ ta(\zeta) + (1-t)a(\xi) \right\}^{\rho-1} \leq C \{ a(\zeta)^{\rho-1} + a(\xi)^{\rho-1} \}. \quad (34)$$

Since $a'(\xi)$ is homogeneous of degree zero,

$$|a'(s\zeta + (1-s)\xi)| \leq \max_{\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |a'(\omega)| < +\infty, \quad (35)$$

where $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |\xi| = 1\}$. Combining (33), (34) and (35), we have

$$\left| \frac{a(\zeta)^\rho}{a(\xi)^\rho} - 1 \right| \leq C \left(\frac{a(\zeta)^{\rho-1}}{a(\xi)^\rho} + \frac{1}{a(\xi)} \right) |\xi - \zeta|. \quad (36)$$

Substituting (36) into (32), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left\{ a(\xi)^{-\rho} |D_\xi|^\kappa a(\xi)^\rho - |D_\xi|^\kappa \right\} \hat{f}(\xi) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{a(\xi)^\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{a(\zeta)^{\rho-1} |\hat{f}(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|^{n-(1-\kappa)}} d\zeta + \frac{C}{a(\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\hat{f}(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|^{n-(1-\kappa)}} d\zeta \\ &= C \left| a(\xi)^{-\rho} |D_\xi|^{-(1-\kappa)} a(\xi)^{\rho-1} |\hat{f}(\xi)| \right| + C \left| a(\xi)^{-1} |D_\xi|^{-(1-\kappa)} |\hat{f}(\xi)| \right| \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Using (17) with $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1-\kappa, \rho, 1-\kappa-\rho)$ and (18) with $\beta = \kappa$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| a(\xi)^{-\rho} |D_\xi|^{-(1-\kappa)} a(\xi)^{\rho-1} |\hat{f}| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \left\| a(\xi)^{(1-\kappa-\rho)+(\rho-1)} |\hat{f}| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= C \left\| a(\xi)^{-\kappa} \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C \left\| |x|^\kappa f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (38)$$

Similarly, using (17) with $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1 - \kappa, 1, -\kappa)$ and (18) with $\beta = \kappa$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| a(\xi)^{-1} |D_\xi|^{-(1-\kappa)} |\hat{f}| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \left\| a(\xi)^{-\kappa} |\hat{f}| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= C \left\| a(\xi)^{-\kappa} \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \left\| |x|^\kappa f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Applying (38) and (39) to (37), we obtain (31) for $n \geq 3$ and $0 < \kappa < 1$.

Case 2. Suppose $n = 2$ and $0 < \kappa < 1$. Note that $\rho = 1/2$. We evaluate

$$\left| \left\{ a(\xi)^{-\rho} r_\kappa(D_\xi) a(\xi)^\rho - r_\kappa(D_\xi) \right\} \hat{f}(\xi) \right| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{a(\zeta)^{1/2}}{a(\xi)^{1/2}} - 1 \right| \frac{|\hat{f}(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|^{2+\kappa}} d\zeta. \quad (40)$$

Using factorization and the mean value theorem, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a(\zeta)^{1/2}}{a(\xi)^{1/2}} - 1 &= \frac{a(\zeta)^{1/2} - a(\xi)^{1/2}}{a(\xi)^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{a(\zeta) - a(\xi)}{a(\xi)^{1/2} \{a(\zeta)^{1/2} + a(\xi)^{1/2}\}} \\ &= \frac{1}{a(\xi)^{1/2} \{a(\zeta)^{1/2} + a(\xi)^{1/2}\}} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 a'(s\zeta + (1-s)\xi) \cdot (\zeta - \xi) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Then we have

$$\left| \frac{a(\zeta)^{1/2}}{a(\xi)^{1/2}} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{C|\xi - \zeta|}{a(\xi)^{1/2} a(\zeta)^{1/2}}. \quad (42)$$

Applying (42) to (40), we deduce

$$\left| \left\{ a(\xi)^{-1/2} r_\kappa(D_\xi) a(\xi)^{1/2} - r_\kappa(D_\xi) \right\} \hat{f}(\xi) \right| \leq C \left| a(\xi)^{-1/2} |D_\xi|^{1-\kappa} a(\xi)^{-1/2} |\hat{f}(\xi)| \right|. \quad (43)$$

Applying (17) with $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1 - \kappa, 1/2, 1/2 - \kappa)$ and (18) with $\beta = \kappa$ to (43), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\{ a(\xi)^{-1/2} r_\kappa(D_\xi) a(\xi)^{1/2} - r_\kappa(D_\xi) \right\} \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\leq C \left\| a(\xi)^{(1/2-\kappa)-1/2} \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &= C \left\| a(\xi)^{-\kappa} \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\leq C \left\| |x|^\kappa f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which is desired.

Case 3. Suppose $n \geq 3$ and $\kappa = 1$. Since

$$\left\{ a(\xi)^{-\rho} D_\xi a(\xi)^\rho - D_\xi \right\} \hat{f}(\xi) = \rho \frac{a'(\xi)}{a(\xi)} \hat{f}(\xi),$$

using (18) with $\beta = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\{ a(\xi)^{-\rho} D_\xi a(\xi)^\rho - D_\xi \right\} \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left\| \rho a'(\xi) a(\xi)^{-1} \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \rho \max_{\omega \in \Sigma(1)} |a'(\omega)| \left\| a(\xi)^{-1} \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C \left\| |x|f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Case 4. Suppose $n \geq 3$ and $1 < \kappa < 3/2$. A simple computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ a(\xi)^{-\rho} |D_\xi|^{\kappa-1} D_\xi a(\xi)^\rho - |D_\xi|^{\kappa-1} D_\xi \right\} \hat{f}(\xi) \\ &= \left\{ a(\xi)^{-\rho} |D_\xi|^{\kappa-1} a(\xi)^\rho - |D_\xi|^{\kappa-1} \right\} D_\xi \hat{f}(\xi) \\ &+ \rho a(\xi)^{-\rho} |D_\xi|^{\kappa-1} a(\xi)^{\rho-1} a'(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Using the results of Case 1, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\{ a(\xi)^{-\rho} |D_\xi|^{\kappa-1} a(\xi)^\rho - |D_\xi|^{\kappa-1} \right\} D_\xi \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \left\| |x|^{\kappa-1} x f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left\| |x|^\kappa f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \rho a(\xi)^{-\rho} |D_\xi|^{\kappa-1} a(\xi)^{\rho-1} a'(\xi) \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \rho \left\| \left\{ a(\xi)^{-\rho} |D_\xi|^{\kappa-1} a(\xi)^\rho - |D_\xi|^{\kappa-1} \right\} |\xi|^{-1} b(\xi) \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \quad + \rho \left\| |\xi|^{-1} b(\xi) \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C \left\| |x|^{\kappa-1} b(D_x) |D_x|^{-1} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where $b(\xi) = a'(\xi)|\xi|/a(\xi)$. Using (19) with $\delta = \kappa - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| |x|^{\kappa-1} b(D_x) |D_x|^{-1} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \left\| \left\{ |x|^{\kappa-1} b(D_x) - b(D_x) |x|^{\kappa-1} \right\} |D_x|^{-1} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \quad + \left\| b(D_x) |x|^{\kappa-1} |D_x|^{-1} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C \left\| |x|^{\kappa-1} |D_x|^{-1} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Applying (17) with $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, -(\kappa - 1), \kappa)$ to (47), we have

$$\left\| |x|^{\kappa-1} |D_x|^{-1} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \left\| |x|^\kappa f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then we get

$$\left\| \rho a(\xi)^{-\rho} |D_\xi|^{\kappa-1} a(\xi)^{\rho-1} a'(\xi) \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| |x|^\kappa f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (48)$$

Combining (44), (45) and (48), we obtain (31) for $n \geq 3$ and $1 < \kappa < 3/2$. This completes the proof. $\square$

3. RESTRICTION ESTIMATES

In this section we prove Lemma 1.3.

Proof of uniform trace estimates (14). We split $\Sigma(\tau)$ into finite numbers of small surfaces given by graphs of functions as follows. Since $a'(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, $a'(\xi) \neq 0$ for $\xi \neq 0$, and $\Sigma(1)$ is compact,

there exist finite numbers of closed sets $\Sigma(1, k) \subset \Sigma(1)$ and points $\omega_k \in \Sigma(1, k)$ ($k = 1, \dots, l$) such that

$$\Sigma(1) = \bigcup_{k=1}^l \Sigma(1, k), \quad a'(\omega_k) \cdot a'(\omega) \geq \frac{1}{2} |a'(\omega_k)|^2 \quad \text{for } \omega \in \Sigma(1, k). \quad (49)$$

Fix $k = 1, \dots, l$. Using an appropriate rotation in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we may assume $e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1) = a'(\omega_k)/|a'(\omega_k)|$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(\tau, k) &= \{t\omega \mid t > 0, \omega \in \Sigma(1, k)\} \cap \Sigma(\tau), \\ D(\tau, k) &= \{\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \mid \text{there exists } \xi_n \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } (\xi', \xi_n) \in \Sigma(\tau, k)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The homogeneity of $a(\xi)$ implies that for $\tau > 0$

$$\Sigma(\tau, k) = \{\tau\omega \mid \omega \in \Sigma(1, k)\}, \quad D(\tau, k) = \{\tau\xi' \mid \xi' \in D(1, k)\}$$

Since $a(\xi)$ is positively homogeneous of degree one and (49), e_n -direction is transversal to the small surface $\Sigma(\tau, k)$. Since $|\partial a(\omega_k)/\partial \xi_n| = |a'(\omega_k)| \neq 0$, the implicit function theorem shows that there exists a homogeneous function $g_k \in C^\infty(D(1, k))$ of degree zero such that

$$\Sigma(1, k) = \{(\xi', g_k(\xi')) \mid \xi' \in D(1, k)\} \quad (50)$$

provided that $\Sigma(1, k)$ is sufficiently small. Since $a(\xi', g_k(\xi')) = 1$ for $\xi' \in D(1, k)$, we deduce

$$a\left(\xi', \tau g_k\left(\frac{\xi'}{\tau}\right)\right) = \tau \quad \text{for } \xi' \in D(\tau, k).$$

Set $g_{\tau, k}(\xi') = \tau g_k(\xi'/\tau)$ for short. The uniqueness of the implicit function on $\Sigma(\tau, k)$ implies that

$$\Sigma(\tau, k) = \{(\xi', g_{\tau, k}(\xi')) \mid \xi' \in D(\tau, k)\}.$$

Now we evaluate $\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau, k))}$. Set $\nabla_{\xi'} = \partial/\partial \xi'$ for short. Note that

$$\nabla_{\xi'} g_{\tau, k}(\xi') = (\nabla_{\xi'} g_k)\left(\frac{\xi'}{\tau}\right) \quad \text{for } \xi' \in D(\tau, k).$$

Then we have for any $\tau > 0$

$$\max_{\xi' \in D(\tau, k)} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\xi'} g_{\tau, k}(\xi')|^2} = \max_{\xi' \in D(1, k)} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\xi'} g_k(\xi')|^2} \equiv M < +\infty. \quad (51)$$

Let σ be the surface element on $\Sigma(\tau, k)$. Using (51), the one-dimensional Sobolev embedding (See, e.g., [17, Chapter 4]) and the Plancherel formula, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau, k))}^2 &= \int_{\Sigma(\tau, k)} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) \\ &= \int_{D(\tau, k)} |\hat{f}(\xi', g_{\tau, k}(\xi'))|^2 \sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\xi'} g_{\tau, k}(\xi')|^2} d\xi' \\ &\leq M \int_{D(\tau, k)} |\hat{f}(\xi', g_{\tau, k}(\xi'))|^2 d\xi' \\ &\leq M \int_{D(\tau, k)} \sup_{\xi_n \in \mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi', \xi_n)|^2 d\xi' \\ &\leq C_{\theta, M} \int_{D(\tau, k)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\langle D_{\xi_n} \rangle^{1/2+\theta} \hat{f}(\xi', \xi_n)|^2 d\xi' d\xi_n \\ &\leq C_{\theta, M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle D_{\xi_n} \rangle^{1/2+\theta} \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= C_{\theta, M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle x_n \rangle^{1/2+\theta} f(x)|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C_{\theta,M} \|\langle x \rangle^{1/2+\theta} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

Summing up the above estimates on k up to l , we obtain (14). $\square$

Proof of Hölder continuity (15). In view of (14), it suffices to show (15) only for $|\tau - \lambda| \leq 1$. Fix $\omega \in \Sigma(1)$. The Sobolev embedding theorem shows that

$$\left| \tau^\rho \hat{f}(\tau\omega) - \lambda^\rho \hat{f}(\lambda\omega) \right| \leq C |\tau - \lambda|^\theta \left(\int_0^\infty \left\{ \left| \mu^\rho \hat{f}(\mu\omega) \right|^2 + \left| \omega \cdot L_\mu(\mu^\rho \hat{f}(\mu\omega)) \right|^2 \right\} d\mu \right)^{1/2}, \quad (52)$$

where $L_\mu = r_{1/2+\theta}(D_\xi)$ for $\xi = \mu\omega$. Note that $d\xi = \mu^{2\rho} d\mu d\sigma(\omega)$ for $\xi = \mu\omega$. Integrating (52) over $\Sigma(1)$ and using (19), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \tau^\rho \hat{f}(\tau \cdot) - \lambda^\rho \hat{f}(\lambda \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma(1))} \\ & \leq C |\tau - \lambda|^\theta \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{\Sigma(1)} \left\{ \left| \mu^\rho \hat{f}(\mu\omega) \right|^2 + \left| \omega \cdot L_u(\mu^\rho \hat{f}(\mu\omega)) \right|^2 \right\} d\mu d\sigma(\omega) \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C |\tau - \lambda|^\theta \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{\Sigma(1)} \left\{ \left| \mu^\rho \hat{f}(\mu\omega) \right|^2 + \left| L_u(\mu^\rho \hat{f}(\mu\omega)) \right|^2 \right\} d\mu d\sigma(\omega) \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C |\tau - \lambda|^\theta \left\{ \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\| a(\xi)^{-\rho} r_{1/2+\theta}(D_\xi) a(\xi)^\rho \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\} \\ & \leq C |\tau - \lambda|^\theta \left\{ \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\| |x|^{1/2+\theta} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\} \\ & \leq C |\tau - \lambda|^\theta \left\| \langle x \rangle^{1/2+\theta} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of low frequency trace estimates (16). In view of (14), it suffices to show the case $|\tau| \leq 1$. Suppose $0 < \theta < 1$ ($n = 2$) or $0 < \theta \leq 1$ ($n \geq 3$). Using (15), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau))} &= \left\| \tau^\rho \hat{f}(\tau \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma(1))} \\ &= \left\| \tau^\rho \hat{f}(\tau \cdot) - 0 \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma(1))} \\ &\leq C |\tau - 0|^\theta \left\| \langle x \rangle^{1/2+\theta} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C |\tau|^\theta \left\| \langle x \rangle^{1/2+\theta} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Consider the case $1 \leq \theta < (n-1)/2$ ($n \geq 4$). Set $\nu = \theta - 1/2$ for short. Applying (53) with “ $\theta = 1/2$ ” to $\tau^{-\nu} \hat{f}(\tau\omega)$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau))} &= \tau^\nu \left\| \tau^{-\nu} \hat{f}(\tau \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau))} \\ &\leq C \tau^{\nu+1/2} \left\| (1 + |x|) a(D_x)^{-\nu} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \tau^\theta \left(\left\| a(D_x)^{-\nu} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\| |x| a(D_x)^{-\nu} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Using (18) with $\beta = \nu$, we have

$$\left\| a(D_x)^{-\nu} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| |x|^{\theta-1/2} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (55)$$

Using (19) with $\delta = 1$ and $q(\xi) = a(\xi)^{-\nu}|\xi|^\nu$ and (17) with $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\nu, -1, \nu + 1)$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| |x| a(D_x)^{-\nu} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left\| |x| (a(D_x)^{-\nu} |D_x|^\nu) |D_x|^{-\nu} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leq C \left\| |x| |D_x|^{-\nu} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leq C \left\| |x|^{\nu+1} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leq C \left\| |x|^{1/2+\theta} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{56}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (54), (55) and (56), we obtain (16) for $1 \leq \theta < (n-1)/2$. This completes the proof. $\square$

4. SMOOTHING ESTIMATES

In this section we prove the resolvent estimates (12). Obviously, it suffices to show (12) for $1/2 < \delta < 1$. Let $b(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ be a real-valued symbol. We remark that for $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(b(D_x)(\zeta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, g \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left(b(D_x)(\zeta - p(D_x))^{-1} (f + g), (f + g) \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left(b(D_x)(\zeta - p(D_x))^{-1} (f - g), (f - g) \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{4} \left(b(D_x)(\zeta - p(D_x))^{-1} (f + ig), (f + ig) \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{4} \left(b(D_x)(\zeta - p(D_x))^{-1} (f - ig), (f - ig) \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{57}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it suffices to show (12) for $f = g$. In view of (20) for $q(\xi) = |p'(\xi)|^{-1/2} |\xi|^{(m-1)/2}$, the proof of (12) is reduced to the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. Suppose $m > 1$ and $\delta > 1/2$. Then,*

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}} \left| \left(|p'(D_x)| (\zeta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, g \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right| \leq C \| \langle x \rangle^\delta f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \langle x \rangle^\delta g \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{58}$$

Proof. It suffices to show (58) for $f = g$ and $1/2 < \delta < 1$. For the sake of convenience, set $\zeta = \lambda \pm i\eta$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta > 0$. Using the Plancherel formula and the co-area formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(|p'(D_x)| (\lambda \pm i\eta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, f \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|p'(\xi)|}{\lambda \pm i\eta - p(\xi)} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(\lambda - \tau) \pm i\eta} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) d\tau \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{(\lambda - \tau) \mp i\eta}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) d\tau. \tag{59}
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (14) to the imaginary part of (59), we obtain

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} \left(|p'(D_x)| (\lambda \pm i\eta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, f \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{\eta}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) d\tau \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{\eta}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau^{1/m}))}^2 d\tau \\
&\leq C \|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\eta}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\eta}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} d\tau \\
&= C\pi \|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

According to $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we split the evaluation of the real part of (59) into three cases: Case 1 ($\lambda \leq 0$), Case 2 ($0 < \lambda \leq 2^m$) and Case 3 ($\lambda > 2^m$).

Case 1. Suppose $\lambda \leq 0$. Set $\mu = -\lambda \geq 0$. Using (18) with $\beta = 1/2$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \operatorname{Re} \left(|p'(D_x)| (\lambda \pm i\eta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, f \right) \right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{\mu + \tau}{(\mu + \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\mu + \tau} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) d\tau \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|p'(\xi)|}{p(\xi)} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C \left\| |\xi|^{-1/2} \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\
&\leq C \left\| |x|^{1/2} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Case 2. Suppose $0 < \lambda \leq 2^m$. We split the real part of (59) into three parts according to the size of τ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \operatorname{Re} \left(|p'(D_x)| (\lambda \pm i\eta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, f \right) \right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&= \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda - \tau}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) d\tau \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_0^{\lambda/2} \dots d\tau \right| + \left| \int_{\lambda/2}^{3\lambda/2} \dots d\tau \right| + \left| \int_{3\lambda/2}^\infty \dots d\tau \right| \\
&= I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

It is easy to deal with I_1 and I_3 . In fact, since $\lambda - \tau \geq \tau$ for $\tau \leq \lambda/2$, and $\tau - \lambda \geq \tau/3$ for $\tau \geq 3\lambda/2$, we have

$$\frac{|\lambda - \tau|}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda - \tau|} \leq \frac{3}{\tau}$$

for $\tau \notin (\lambda/2, 3\lambda/2)$. In the same way as (61), we can obtain

$$I_1, I_3 \leq C \left\| |x|^{1/2} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \tag{63}$$

The estimate of I_2 is delicate. Since

$$\int_{\lambda/2}^{3\lambda/2} \frac{\lambda - \tau}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} d\tau = - \int_{-\lambda/2}^{\lambda/2} \frac{\mu}{\mu^2 + \sigma^2} d\mu = 0 \quad (64)$$

for $\lambda, \eta > 0$, we have

$$I_2 = \left| \int_{\lambda/2}^{3\lambda/2} \frac{\lambda - \tau}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} F_1(\tau, \lambda) d\tau \right|, \quad (65)$$

$$F_1(\tau, \lambda) = \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) - \int_{p(\xi)=\lambda} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi).$$

Applying (14) and (15) to $F_1(\tau, \lambda)$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |F_1(\tau, \lambda)| &= \left| \|\tau^{\rho/m} \hat{f}(\tau \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Sigma(1))} - \|\lambda^{\rho/m} \hat{f}(\lambda \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Sigma(1))} \right| \\ &\quad \times \left(\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau^{1/m}))} + \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\lambda^{1/m}))} \right) \\ &\leq \|\tau^{\rho/m} \hat{f}(\tau \cdot) - \lambda^{\rho/m} \hat{f}(\lambda \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Sigma(1))} \\ &\quad \times \left(\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau^{1/m}))} + \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\lambda^{1/m}))} \right) \\ &\leq C |\tau^{1/m} - \lambda^{1/m}|^\theta \|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

where $\theta = \delta - 1/2$. The mean value theorem shows that for $\lambda/2 \leq \tau \leq 3\lambda/2$

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau^{1/m} - \lambda^{1/m}| &= \frac{|\tau - \lambda|}{m} \int_0^1 \left(\lambda + t(\tau - \lambda) \right)^{-(m-1)/m} dt \\ &\leq \frac{|\tau - \lambda|}{m} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \right)^{-(m-1)/m} dt \\ &= \frac{2^{(m-1)/m}}{m} \lambda^{-(m-1)/m} |\tau - \lambda|. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Substituting (67) into (66), we have

$$|F_1(\tau, \lambda)| \leq C \lambda^{-\theta(m-1)/m} |\lambda - \tau|^\theta \|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \quad (68)$$

for $\lambda/2 \leq \tau \leq 3\lambda/2$. Substituting (68) into (65), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C \lambda^{-\theta(m-1)/m} \|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \int_{\lambda/2}^{3\lambda/2} |\lambda - \tau|^{\theta-1} d\tau \\ &= 2C \lambda^{-\theta(m-1)/m} \|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \int_0^{\lambda/2} \mu^{\theta-1} d\mu \\ &= \frac{2C}{\theta} \lambda^{\theta/m} \|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2^{1+\theta} C}{\theta} \|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Combining (62), (63) and (69), we obtain (58) for $f = g$ and $0 < \operatorname{Re} \zeta \leq 2^m$.

Case 3. Suppose $\lambda > 2^m$. Case 3 is slightly different from Case 2. We split the real part of (59) into four parts as follows.

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \left(|p'(D_x)| (\lambda \pm i\eta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, f \right) \right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda - \tau}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) d\tau \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_0^{\lambda - \lambda^{(m-1)/m}} \cdots d\tau \right| + \left| \int_{\lambda - \lambda^{(m-1)/m}}^{\lambda + \lambda^{(m-1)/m}} \cdots d\tau \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{\lambda + \lambda^{(m-1)/m}}^{2\lambda} \cdots d\tau \right| + \left| \int_{2\lambda}^\infty \cdots d\tau \right| \\
&= I_4 + I_5 + I_6 + I_7.
\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

It is easy to evaluate I_4 , I_6 and I_7 . Since $\lambda - \tau \geq \tau^{(m-1)/m}$ for $0 \leq \tau \leq \lambda - \lambda^{(m-1)/m}$, and $\tau - \lambda \geq (\tau/2)^{(m-1)/m}$ for $\lambda + \lambda^{(m-1)/m} \leq \tau \leq 2\lambda$,

$$\frac{|\lambda - \tau|}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda - \tau|} \leq \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^{(m-1)/m}$$

for $0 \leq \tau \leq \lambda - \lambda^{(m-1)/m}$ and $\lambda + \lambda^{(m-1)/m} \leq \tau \leq 2\lambda$. Hence, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4, I_6 &\leq C \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\tau^{(m-1)/m}} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) d\tau \\
&= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|p'(\xi)|}{p(\xi)^{(m-1)/m}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Since $\tau - \lambda \geq \tau/2$ for $\tau \geq 2\lambda$, we can get

$$I_7 \leq \left\| |x|^{1/2} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \tag{72}$$

in the same way as (61).

I_5 is also delicate. Since

$$\int_{\lambda - \lambda^{(m-1)/m}}^{\lambda + \lambda^{(m-1)/m}} \frac{\lambda - \tau}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} d\tau = - \int_{-\lambda^{(m-1)/m}}^{\lambda^{(m-1)/m}} \frac{\mu}{\mu^2 + \eta^2} d\mu = 0,$$

we have

$$I_5 = \left| \int_{\lambda - \lambda^{(m-1)/m}}^{\lambda + \lambda^{(m-1)/m}} \frac{\lambda - \tau}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} F_1(\tau, \lambda) \tau d\tau \right|.$$

Here we remark that for $\lambda > 2^m$

$$(\lambda - \lambda^{(m-1)/m}) - \frac{\lambda}{2} = \lambda^{(m-1)/m} \left(\frac{\lambda^{1/m}}{2} - 1 \right) > 0.$$

Hence (67) is valid also for $\lambda > 2^m$ and $|\lambda - \tau| \leq \lambda^{(m-1)/m}$, and (68) also holds for $\lambda > 2^m$ and $|\lambda - \tau| \leq \lambda^{(m-1)/m}$. Thus, we can deduce

$$I_5 \leq C \lambda^{-\theta(m-1)/m} \|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \int_0^{\lambda^{(m-1)/m}} \mu^{\theta-1} d\mu = \frac{C}{\theta} \|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \tag{73}$$

Combining (70), (71), (72) and (73), we obtain (58) for $f = g$ and $\operatorname{Re} \zeta > 2^m$. This completes the proof. $\square$

5. LOW FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

In this section we prove (13). In view of (57), it suffices to show (13) only for $f = g$. We first show the estimates essentially related with the low frequency part.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. Suppose $1 < m < n$. Then*

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}} \left| \left((\zeta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, g \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right| \leq C \| \langle x \rangle^{m/2} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \langle x \rangle^{m/2} g \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (74)$$

Proof. In view of (57), we have only to show (74) for $f = g$. Set $\zeta = \lambda \pm i\eta$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta > 0$. In the same way as (59), we have

$$\left((\zeta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, f \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_0^\infty \frac{(\lambda - \tau) \mp i\eta}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} \frac{|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2}{|p'(\xi)|} d\sigma(\xi) d\tau. \quad (75)$$

First we evaluate the imaginary part of (75). Pick up $\theta \in (0, \min\{1/2, (m-1)/2\})$. Using (16), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \operatorname{Im} \left((\lambda \pm i\eta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, f \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right| \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\eta}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} \frac{|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2}{|p'(\xi)|} d\sigma(\xi) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\eta \tau^{-2\theta/m}}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} \frac{|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2}{|p'(\xi)| a(\xi)^{-2\theta}} d\sigma(\xi) d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty \frac{\eta \tau^{-2\theta/m}}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \left\| |\xi|^{-(m-1)/2+\theta} \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau^{1/m}))}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq C \| \langle x \rangle^{1/2+\theta} |D_x|^{-(m-1)/2+\theta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\eta}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} d\tau \\ &\leq C \| \langle x \rangle^{1/2+\theta} |D_x|^{-(m-1)/2+\theta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\eta}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} d\tau \\ &= C \pi \| \langle x \rangle^{1/2+\theta} |D_x|^{-(m-1)/2+\theta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

Here we remark that $0 < (m-1)/2 - \theta < m/2 < n/2$. Using (17) with $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = ((m-1)/2 - \theta, 0, (m-1)/2 - \theta)$ and $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = ((m-1)/2 - \theta, -1/2 - \theta, m/2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \langle x \rangle^{1/2+\theta} |D_x|^{-(m-1)/2+\theta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \| |D_x|^{-(m-1)/2+\theta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C \| |x|^{1/2+\theta} |D_x|^{-(m-1)/2+\theta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \| |x|^{(m-1)/2-\theta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C \| |x|^{m/2} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \| \langle x \rangle^{m/2} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

Substituting (77) into (76), we obtain

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} \left((\lambda \pm i\eta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, f \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right| \leq C \| \langle x \rangle^{m/2} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \quad (78)$$

Next we consider the real part of (75)

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left((\lambda \pm i\eta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, f \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda - \tau}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} \frac{|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2}{|p'(\xi)|} d\sigma(\xi) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

When $\lambda \leq 0$, set $\mu = -\lambda \geq 0$. Using (18) with $\beta = m/2$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \operatorname{Re} \left((\lambda \pm i\eta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, f \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right| \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{\mu + \tau}{(\mu + \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} \frac{|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2}{|p'(\xi)|} d\sigma(\xi) d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\mu + \tau} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} \frac{|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2}{|p'(\xi)|} d\sigma(\xi) d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} \frac{|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2}{|p'(\xi)|} d\sigma(\xi) d\tau \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(\xi)^{-1} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&= \|a(\xi)^{-m/2} \hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\
&\leq C \left\| |x|^{m/2} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

for $\lambda \leq 0$ and $\eta > 0$.

For $\lambda > 0$, we split (79) into three parts

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \operatorname{Re} \left((\lambda \pm i\eta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, f \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right| \\
&= \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{(\lambda - \tau)}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} \frac{|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2}{|p'(\xi)|} d\sigma(\xi) d\tau \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_0^{\lambda/2} \cdots d\tau \right| + \left| \int_{\lambda/2}^{3\lambda/2} \cdots d\tau \right| + \left| \int_{3\lambda/2}^\infty \cdots d\tau \right| \\
&= I_8 + I_9 + I_{10}.
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

It is easy to handle I_8 and I_{10} . In fact, since $\lambda - \tau \geq \tau$ for $0 \leq \tau \leq \lambda/2$ and $\tau - \lambda \geq \tau/3$ for $\tau \geq 3\lambda/2$,

$$\frac{|\lambda - \tau|}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} \leq \frac{3}{\tau}$$

for $\tau \notin (\lambda/2, 3\lambda/2)$. Hence we can obtain

$$I_8, I_{10} \leq C \left\| |x|^{m/2} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \tag{82}$$

for $\lambda > 0$ in the same way as (80).

We need to deal with I_9 carefully. Pick up $\theta \in (0, \min\{1/2, (m-1)/2\})$. Using (64), we have

$$I_9 = \left| \int_{\lambda/2}^{3\lambda/2} \frac{\lambda - \tau}{(\lambda - \tau)^2 + \eta^2} F_2(\tau, \lambda) d\tau \right|, \tag{83}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2(\tau, \lambda) &= \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} \frac{|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2}{|p'(\xi)|} d\sigma(\xi) - \int_{p(\xi)=\lambda} \frac{|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2}{|p'(\xi)|} d\sigma(\xi) \\
&= \tau^{-2\theta/m} \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} \left| \frac{\hat{f}(\xi)}{|p'(\xi)|^{1/2} a(\xi)^{-\theta}} \right|^2 d\sigma(\xi)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\lambda^{-2\theta/m} \int_{p(\xi)=\lambda} \left| \frac{\hat{f}(\xi)}{|p'(\xi)|^{1/2}a(\xi)^{-\theta}} \right|^2 d\sigma(\xi) \\
& = (\tau^{-2\theta/m} - \lambda^{-2\theta/m}) \int_{p(\xi)=\tau} \left| \frac{\hat{f}(\xi)}{|p'(\xi)|^{1/2}a(\xi)^{-\theta}} \right|^2 d\sigma(\xi) \\
& + \lambda^{-2\theta/m} \left(\int_{p(\xi)=\tau} \left| \frac{\hat{f}(\xi)}{|p'(\xi)|^{1/2}a(\xi)^{-\theta}} \right|^2 d\sigma(\xi) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \int_{p(\xi)=\lambda} \left| \frac{\hat{f}(\xi)}{|p'(\xi)|^{1/2}a(\xi)^{-\theta}} \right|^2 d\sigma(\xi) \right) \\
& = F_3(\tau, \lambda) + F_4(\tau, \lambda).
\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

In the same way as (67), we have

$$|\tau^{-2\theta/m} - \lambda^{-2\theta/m}| \leq C\lambda^{-2\theta/m-1}|\tau - \lambda| \tag{85}$$

for $\lambda/2 \leq \tau \leq 3\lambda/2$. In the computation of (76) and (77), we have obtained

$$\int_{p(\xi)=\tau} \left| \frac{\hat{f}(\xi)}{|p'(\xi)|^{1/2}a(\xi)^{-\theta}} \right|^2 d\sigma(\xi) \leq C\tau^{2\theta/m} \|\langle x \rangle^{m/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \tag{86}$$

Using (85) and (86), we obtain

$$|F_3(\tau, \lambda)| \leq C\lambda^{-1}|\tau - \lambda| \tag{87}$$

for $\lambda/2 \leq \tau \leq 3\lambda/2$. Set $\hat{g}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi)/|p'(\xi)|^{1/2}a(\xi)^{-\theta}$ for short. We remark that (20) and (77) show that for any $\tau > 0$

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{1/2+\theta} g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|\langle x \rangle^{m/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{88}$$

Applying (15), (16), (88) and (67) to $F_4(\tau, \lambda)$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
|F_4(\tau, \lambda)| & = \lambda^{-2\theta/m} \left| \|\tau^{\rho/m} \hat{g}(\tau \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Sigma(1))} - \|\lambda^{\rho/m} \hat{g}(\lambda \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Sigma(1))} \right| \\
& \quad \times \left(\|\hat{g}\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau^{1/m}))} + \|\hat{g}\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\lambda^{1/m}))} \right) \\
& \leq C\lambda^{-\theta/m} |\tau^{1/m} - \lambda^{1/m}|^\theta \|\langle x \rangle^{1/2+\theta} g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\
& \leq C\lambda^{-\theta/m} |\tau^{1/m} - \lambda^{1/m}|^\theta \|\langle x \rangle^{m/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\
& \leq C\lambda^{-\theta} |\tau - \lambda|^\theta \|\langle x \rangle^{m/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

for $\lambda/2 \leq \tau \leq 3\lambda/2$. Combining (84), (87) and (89), we have

$$|F_2(\tau, \lambda)| \leq C(\lambda^{-1}|\tau - \lambda| + \lambda^{-\theta}|\tau - \lambda|^\theta) \|\langle x \rangle^{m/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \tag{90}$$

for $\lambda/2 \leq \tau \leq 3\lambda/2$. Substituting (90) into (83), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_9 & \leq C\|\langle x \rangle^{m/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \int_{\lambda/2}^{3\lambda/2} (\lambda^{-1} + \lambda^{-\theta}|\tau - \lambda|^{\theta-1}) d\tau \\
& = C \left(1 + \frac{2^{1-\theta}}{\theta} \right) \|\langle x \rangle^{m/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

for $\lambda > 0$. Combining (78), (80) and (91), we obtain (74). $\square$

Finally, we complete the proof of (13).

Proof of (13). We make a little use of the elementary theory of pseudodifferential operators freely. See, e.g., [10]. Pick up $\chi(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$0 \leq \chi(\xi) \leq 1, \quad \chi(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & (|\xi| \leq 1), \\ 0 & (|\xi| \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

We split $\langle \xi \rangle^{m-1}$ into two parts

$$\langle \xi \rangle^{m-1} = b_1(\xi) + |\xi|^{m-1} b_2(\xi), \quad (92)$$

$$b_1(\xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^{m-1} \chi(\xi), \quad b_2(\xi) = \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^{m-1} (1 - \chi(\xi))}{|\xi|^{m-1}}.$$

Here we remark that $b_1(\xi)$ and $b_2(\xi)$ are smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose derivatives of any order are all bounded. Using (92), (74) and (12), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\langle D_x \rangle^{m-1} (\zeta - p(D_x))^{-1} f, g \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \left((\zeta - p(D_x))^{-1} b_1(D_x) f, g \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \left(|D_x|^{m-1} (\zeta - p(D_x))^{-1} b_2(D_x) f, g \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right| \\ & \leq C \| \langle x \rangle^{m/2} b_1(D_x) f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \langle x \rangle^{m/2} g \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \quad + C \| \langle x \rangle^{m/2} b_2(D_x) f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \langle x \rangle^{m/2} g \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle x \rangle^{m/2} b_j(D_x) \langle x \rangle^{-m/2}$ ($j = 1, 2$) are L^2 -bounded operators, we obtain (13). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Chihara, *Smoothing effects of dispersive pseudodifferential equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **27** (2002), 1953–2005.
- [2] S.-I. Doi, *Smoothing effects of Schrödinger evolution groups on Riemannian manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **82** (1996), 679–706.
- [3] L. Hörmander, “The analysis of linear partial differential operators II”, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [4] T. Hoshiro, *On weighted L^2 -estimates of solutions to wave equation*, J. Anal. Math. **72** (1997), 127–140.
- [5] T. Hoshiro, *Mourre’s method and smoothing properties of dispersive equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **202** (1999), 255–265.
- [6] T. Hoshiro, *Decay and regularity for dispersive equations with constant coefficients*, J. Anal. Math. **91** (2003), 211–230.
- [7] T. Kato, *Wave operators and similarity for some non-selfadjoint operators*, Math. Ann. **1965/1966**, 258–279.
- [8] T. Kato and K. Yajima, *Some examples of smooth operators and the associated smoothing effect*, Rev. Math. Phys. **1** (1989), 481–496.
- [9] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, *Small solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non. Linéaire **10** (1993), 255–288.
- [10] H. Kumano-go, “Pseudo-Differential Operators”, The MIT Press, 1981.
- [11] S. T. Kuroda, “An Introduction to Scattering Theory”, Lecture Notes Series **51**, Aarhus Universitet, Matematisk Institut, Aarhus, 1978.
- [12] F. Linares and G. Ponce, *On the Davey-Stewartson systems*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non. Linéaire **10** (1993), 523–548.
- [13] M. Ruzhansky and M. Sugimoto, *A new proof of global smoothing estimates for dispersive equations*, Advances in Pseudo-Differential Operators, 65–75, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **155**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.
- [14] T. Sakai, “Riemannian Geometry”, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **149**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [15] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Fractional integrals on n -dimensional Euclidean space*, J. Math. Mech. **7** (1958), 503–514.
- [16] M. Sugimoto, *Global smoothing properties of generalized Schrödinger equations*, J. Anal. Math. **76** (1998), 191–204.
- [17] M. E. Taylor, “Partial Differential Equations I, Basic Theory”, Springer, 1996.

- [18] B. G. Walther, *A sharp weighted L^2 -estimate for the solution to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation*, Ark. Mat. **37** (1999), 381–393.
- [19] K. Watanabe, *Smooth perturbations of the selfadjoint operator $|\Delta|^{\alpha/2}$* , Tokyo J. Math. **14** (1991), 239–250.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI 980-8578, JAPAN
E-mail address: `chi_hara@math.tohoku.ac.jp`